UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case I	No.	EDCV 19-	98-DMG (KK)		Date:	February 22, 2019	
Title:	Aim	nan Z. v. Na	ncy A. Berryhill				
Presen	t: The	Honorable	KENLY KIYA KATO	, UNITED STATE	ES MAC	GISTRATE JUDGE	
DEB TAYLOR					Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk					Court Reporter		
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):				Attorney(Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):		
None Present					None Present		
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Why Action Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure to Prosecute and Comply With Court Orders I. BACKGROUND On January 16, 2019, Plaintiff Aiman Z.¹ ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint challenging the denial of his application for Title II Disability Insurance Benefits and Title XVI Supplemental Security Income by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration ("Defendant"). ECF Docket No. ("Dkt.") 1.							
"electro later th	ff to "p onicall an Fel	promptly ser y file a proof pruary 15, 20	of the Summons and core of service" within thirty 19. Dkt. 9 at 1-2. The On dismissal of this case."	nplaint on the Com (30) days of the fil CMO warned Plaint	missior ing of t	ner," and to he Complaint, i.e. no	
СМО.	As of	the date of	this Order, Plaintiff still	has not filed a proo	of of ser	vice, per the Court's	
///							
	¹ Part	tially redacte	—— d in compliance with Fe	deral Rule of Civil	Proced	lure 5.2(c)(2)(B) and the	

Conference of the United States.

recommendation of the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial

II. DISCUSSION

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), the Court may dismiss an action with prejudice for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with any court order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to file a proof of service of the complaint, and thus failed to comply with the Court's CMO. Consequently, under Rule 41(b), the Court may properly dismiss the instant action without prejudice for failure to prosecute and comply with a court order. However, before dismissing this action, the Court will afford Plaintiff an opportunity to explain his failure to file a proof of service as directed by the CMO.

III. ORDER

Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with court orders. Plaintiff shall have up to and including **March 1**, 2019, to respond to this Order. **Plaintiff is cautioned that** failure to timely file a response to this Order will be deemed by the Court consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.